States of America if we are going to take away all that terrorists use to recruit people to fight the West. They do not like the way we treat women with equality in the United States. They do not like a lot of our social values and mores. They do not even like the fact that we hold elections.

So because that is used as a recruitment tool, we are going to stop doing all of that? What sense does this make? We treat people humanely and properly at Guantanamo. People were mistreated in another prison called Abu Ghraib. They are not the same. Abu Ghraib, therefore, does not represent the example of what we should be doing with respect to Guantanamo.

We will have more debate on this subject. I note the time is very short, and I meant to leave a little time for my colleague from Texas. I hope to engage my colleagues in further conversation about this issue. The American people do not want people from Gitmo put into their home States.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Texas.

Mr. CORNYN. Madam President, I ask unanimous consent to speak in morning business for 15 minutes.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CORNYN. I thank the Presiding Officer.

FAMILY SMOKING PREVENTION AND TOBACCO CONTROL ACT

Mr. CORNYN. Actually, Madam President, I intend to speak on the underlying bill. But because the bill manager is not here, I think my remarks are just as appropriate in morning business.

I rise to offer my support as a cosponsor of the Family Smoking Prevention and Tobacco Control Act, the so-called FDA regulation of the tobacco bill that is currently before the Senate.

This is a rarity these days in Washington. It is actually a bipartisan bill—people of both parties working together to try to solve a real problem—and I want to particularly thank Senator Kennedy and Senator Dodd for their leadership on the bill. I also want to thank the Campaign for Tobacco-Free Kids for organizing more than 1,000 public health groups, faith-based organizations, medical associations, and other partners to support this legislation.

The House, as we know, passed the bill in April on a bipartisan basis, and now it is time for the Senate to do its job this week.

This comes to us in a rather unusual historical and regulatory posture. The fact is, we know tobacco is a killer. It is a killer. It kills 400,000 Americans each year in the United States, including 90 percent of all deaths from lung cancer, one out of every three deaths from other types of cancer, and one out of every five deaths for cardiovascular disease.

The real tragedy is not just that adults choose to smoke and harm their health—and many of whom, unfortunately, die premature deaths as a result—it is that many smokers begin their addiction to tobacco—the nicotine, which is the addictive substance within tobacco—when they are young, before they are able to make intelligent choices about what to do with their bodies and their health.

Every day about 1,000 children become regular daily smokers. Medical professionals project that about onethird of these children will eventually die prematurely from a tobacco-related disease.

Not surprisingly, at a time when we are contemplating health care reform in this country, the huge expense of health care and the fiscal unsustainability of the Medicare program, it is also important to point out that tobacco directly increases the cost of health care in our country. More than \$100 billion is spent every year to treat tobacco-related diseases—\$100 billion of taxpayer money—and about \$30 billion of that is spent through our Medicaid Program.

America has a love-hate relationship with tobacco, and Congress, I should sav. and State government does as well. My colleagues will recall that tobacco actually presents a revenue source for the State and Federal Government. One of the most recent instances is when Congress passed a 60cent-plus additional tax on tobacco in order to fund an expansion of the State Children's Health Insurance Program. So government has become addicted to tobacco, too, because of the revenue stream it presents, and that is true at the Federal level and at the State level

However, because of the political clout of tobacco companies years back, when the FDA regulation statute was passed, tobacco was specifically left out of the power of the FDA to regulate this drug. The active ingredient I mentioned is nicotine, which was not acknowledged to be an addictive drug for many years until finally the Surgeon General did identify it for what it was: an addictive drug that makes it harder for people, once they start smoking, to quit.

Then, of course, we tried litigation to control tobacco and the spread of marketing tobacco to children and addicting them to this deadly drug, which it is. Then, we found out it had basically no impact, that massive national litigation through the attorneys general in the States. Basically, the only thing that happened as a result of that is lawyers got rich, but it didn't do anything to deal with the problem of marketing tobacco to children.

One might ask, as a conservative: Why would one support more regulation rather than less? Well, because of this split personality the Federal Government has in dealing with tobacco—recognizing it is a deadly drug, recognizing marketing often targets the

most vulnerable among us, and recognizing the fact that it kills so many people and increases our health care costs not only in Medicare but in Medicaid—why in the world wouldn't we ban it? I know the Senator from Oklahoma has said maybe the world would be a better place if tobacco wasn't legal. Well, we all know that is a slippery slope for the individual choices we make. If we were to ban tobacco, we might as well ban fatty food; we might as well ban alcohol. Obviously, the government would become essentially the dictator of what people could and could not do and consume, and I don't think the American people would tolerate it and I think with some good reason.

We have to accept individual responsibility for our choices. But, again, when you target a deadly drug such as tobacco and nicotine—this addictive component of tobacco to children—that, to me, crosses the line where we ought to say the Federal Government does have a responsibility to allow this legal product, if it is going to remain legal, to be used but under a regulatory regime that will protect the most vulnerable among us.

Many States have effective ways to deal with underage use of tobacco. I think the regime in my State of Texas works pretty well, but it is spotty and not uniform across the country; thus, I think, necessitating a Federal response.

This bill—which, as I say, should be our last resort, and in many ways it is—increases Federal regulation, I believe, in a responsible way, under an imperfect situation, where this legal but deadly drug is used by so many people in our country.

This bill gives the Food and Drug Administration the authority to regulate the manufacturing, marketing, and sale of tobacco products. It would restrict marketing and sales to our young people. It would require tobacco companies to disclose all the ingredients in their products to the FDA. There have been various revelations over time that there were actually efforts made by tobacco companies to provide an extra dose of the addictive component of tobacco, which is nicotine, in order to hook people at a younger age. I think by providing for disclosure of all the ingredients of these products to the FDA, and thus to the American people, we can give people at least as much information as we possibly can to make wise choices with regard to their use of tobacco, or not. preferably. It would require larger and stronger health warnings on tobacco products.

This bill would also protect our young people and taxpayers as well. Smokers will pay for the enforcement of these regulations through user fees on manufacturers of cigarettes, cigarette tobacco, and smokeless tobacco products. Nonsmokers will not have to pay any additional taxes or fees as a result of this bill.

I hope this bill does some good. I think it will. But the key to reducing